

January 22, 2019

Members present: Mr. Huddle, Mr. Landon, Mr. Daniels.

With a quorum present, Mr. Huddle called the meeting to order at 6:30PM.

Mr. Landon made a motion to approve minutes for 11/05/2018, 12/18/2018, and 01/07/2019, Mr. Daniels 2nd: Ayes -- Mr. Huddle, Mr. Landon, Mr. Daniels.

Minutes for 11/05/2018, 12/18/2018, and 01/07/2019 (3-0)

Old Business:

Item 1. RESOLUTION REQUIRING THE ADDITION OF LANGUAGE SUPPORTING CURBSIDE RECYCLING EFFORTS WITHIN THE CURRENTLY PROPOSED DKMM SOLID WASTE PLAN

New Business:

Item 1. RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE AUDITOR TO TRANSFER APPROPRIATED GENERAL FUND MONIES TO PARKS, TRANSIT, POLICE, FIRE AND DISPATCH AND ANY OTHER FUNDS APPROVED BY COUNCIL FOR THE YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2019

Deputy Auditor Chaffin explained that this is the annual resolution authorizing them to transfer funds into other departments as required by their budget.

Mr. Landon made a motion to recommend approval, Mr. Daniels 2nd: Ayes -- Mr. Huddle, Mr. Landon, Mr. Daniels.

Ordinance recommended to council (3-0)

Item 2. ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING AN UPDATED CREDIT CARD POLICY AS REQUIRED BY THE PASSAGE OF H.B. 312

Deputy Auditor Chaffin explained that legislation has been passed that requires council to formally adopt a credit card policy. The policy requires the finance chair to review expenditures and sign off on review. Policy limits use for travel, transportation, and certain online purchases with prior approval.

Mr. Landon made a motion to recommend approval, Mr. Daniels 2nd: Ayes -- Mr. Huddle, Mr. Landon, Mr. Daniels.

Ordinance recommended to council (3-0)

Finance Committee Minutes

January 22, 2019

Other Items:

Mayor Schertzer announced that he received the official notification of receipt of the \$500,000 critical infrastructure grant through CDBG for improvements to the fire station. The funds must be expended during the period of 02/01/2019-01/31/2021. They are currently in the bidding process to repair the bay floor.

With no further business to come before the Finance Committee, Mr. Huddle adjourned the meeting at 6:34 PM.

Chairman Huddle

Clerk of Council

November 20, 2018

Members present: Mr. Huddle, Mr. Landon, Mr. Daniels.

With a quorum present, Mr. Huddle called the meeting to order at 6:30PM.

Old Business:

Item 1. Continued discussion on purchase of equipment for the Streets Department: ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR TO ENTER INTO CONTRACT BEST EQUIPMENT COMPANY FOR THE PURCHASE OF A GRAPPLE TRUCK FOR THE CITY OF MARION, OHIO, APPROPRIATING NECESSARY FUNDS, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

Mr. Daniels stated that there may be a grant opportunity available to assist with this purchase through EPA.

Mr. Daniels made a motion to recommend approval, Mr. Landon 2nd: Ayes -- Mr. Huddle, Mr. Landon, Mr. Daniels.

Ordinance recommended to council (3-0)

Item 2. Continued discussion on the cost proposals for recycling: ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR TO ENTER INTO CONTRACT WITH SIMS BROTHERS, INC. FOR THE RECEIPT AND PROCESSING OF RECYCLABLE MATERIALS COLLECTED BY THE CURBSIDE RECYCLING PROGRAM FOR THE CITY OF MARION, OHIO, APPROPRIATING NECESSARY FUNDS, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY

RJ Bicking, representing League of Women Voters, made a statement in support of continuing curbside recycling and cited statistics from EPA and DKMM websites. She explained that the League has a public education arm that conducts candidate's night and produces the voter's guide. They do not take partisan positions, but they do study issues. She provided council with a position statement on recycling published by the League.

Beth Babich (955 Villandry Drive) spoke in support of curbside recycling and specifically the benefit to aged and disabled residents.

Mr. Huddle clarified that the council is in support of curbside recycling. At this point, they are discussing a funding issue.

Sandra Novatny (1193 Bexley Avenue) explained that there is a much larger group of people recycling. Taking recycling away, even temporarily, will break the recycling habit. The demand for recycled products is not great right now, but that does not mean that the market will not change in the long run.

Jenna Hicks, District Director of DKMM Solid Waste District, explained that DKMM is a strong advocate of Sims Brothers. They have earmarked \$250,000 in grants over the last six years and are considering another \$225,000 grant at the December board

Finance Committee Minutes

November 20, 2018

meeting (half of the equipment cost). The processing fee for the City of Marion is \$40,000, approximately \$.30 a household based on 2016 numbers. They put out for bid for drop boxes in previous years and have used Sims at a higher rate to award it locally. They are in support of Sims and have a good relationship.

Ms. Hicks continued to speak about the processing fee and budget implications to DKMM. DKMM does not have the budget necessary to pay processing fees. They have 19 other curbside communities in the district that account for 10,000 tons of recyclables. If they pay curbside for one community, they must do for all 19. This creates a \$550,000 financial implication for DKMM. They have a carryover budget of \$1.7 million. They are encouraged by EPA to have 6-12 months of operating expenses as a carryover. Paying for processing would leave them with about \$780,000 in carryover. That would last for 2 years to pay for processing expenses and would be short sighted.

Ms. Hicks provided copies from DKMM of the solid waste district proposed budget. The balance at end of 2022 is \$625,000. At that point, they would not meet an 8-month carryover. That is implementing plan as written without any processing fees for curbside programs. They do not have the budget to do that.

Ms. Hicks stated that there has been conversation on tire issues and she provided a fact sheet on Ohio Scrap Tire Clean Up Program. The State has money to clean up tire issues on private property.

Ms. Hicks stated that fees are set during the solid waste planning process. They are at the tail end of creating the solid waste plan. They have a policy committee made up of 29 members from 4 counties that meets on a regular basis to determine what programs the district should do and how they should handle solid waste for the next 5 years. During that process the district must show that they have the budget to fund those programs. That is the point where the contract fee of \$6 per ton is determined. If the group agrees to do X-Y-Z program, they must ensure that they have the budget. The \$6 per ton fee covers the plan as it is for the next 5 years. They provide that plan to EPA and the EPA must give the approval before they move forward with it.

Ms. Hicks explained that if curbside recycling were discontinued, they would look to the City to allow them to have drop off locations within the city. That would be the next step to meet access for the EPA plan. They do not own property, so they would rely on partners for properties, which is how it works in rural communities. In response to Mr. Daniels question: "Why would they pay \$100,000 for drop offs, but will not pay \$40,000 for curbside?", Ms. Hicks stated that it is not just Marion's processing fee. It is a processing fee for the entire district, so they would be comparing \$550,000. They cannot afford to do that. She discourages drop off containers in more populated areas. People drop their trash there. It works well in rural areas. However, there are still some problems, i.e. River Valley drop off location.

Mr. Daniels stated that everyone agrees that the superior method of recycling is curbside. It creates a cleaner waste stream. Drop off locations are truly an inferior way because of the trash that they attract. The unfortunate part is that DKMM is virtually

November 20, 2018

self-governed. He stated that, in the opinion of Ernie Stall (EPA), there is broad discretion in how DKMM spends their money on recycling. The EPA does not interfere with that. It is up to 29 members of policy board that create the draft plan, ask for public comment, and then observe a 90-day ratification period (where they are now). So, when the comment that the EPA would object if DKMM focused its energy on curbside might have been a miscommunication between them at last meeting. He is under the impression that it is not the case.

Ms. Hicks there are certain things that a district can pay for, including processing and curbside if the district does that. There are a handful of districts (52 total) that would even touch paying curbside programs. The way that is run in the state at the solid waste level is that the individual who is using the service pays for that and not the district because they cannot afford it. It comes down to finances. 95% of solid waste districts cannot afford to pay it. They are set up to pay for drop off locations. Her conversation with EPA is to not go down that rabbit hole. She is sure that in the conversation with Ernie Stall (EPA) that they are backing up DKMM's decision.

Mr. Daniels said that he would not expect them to pay for all curbside programs. The resolution that is offered in item 3 does not expect that either. What it does do is expect DKMM to offer a contribution to curbside because it does not matter whether a bottle is recycled out of the county or the city. If it does not go in a landfill, it has been recycled. Origin does not matter. This leads Council into a conversation about performance-based funding. If an agency can divert more and more trash like Marion does in the curbside program (as opposed to drop offs), they expect DKMM to stand behind them. They are asking for \$1 for every \$2 that they city contributes. The state government ratified HB 592 in 1988 and has not been modified by the legislature in 30 years. Back then, the idea of curbside was not brought into the conversation. They focused on how to get people to recycle and then drop-offs became the next focus. He has heard it said that Marion City and Marion County need to show that they are progressive by not getting rid of curbside recycling. Discontinuing curbside recycling is not the aim of Council. Council members have personal opinions; however, they represent the residents of Marion. If they want curbside, then it needs to be sustainable, affordable, and financially responsible. The City contributes \$275,000 towards curbside. They began doing that for reasons that were before his time, but by state law any municipality is not required to provide recycling. It puts that mandate on solid waste districts. They can tell people that it is \$6/ton or \$.30/resident. That figure was determined by dividing the increase among city residents, but that does not consider the \$275,000 that the City is already spending.

Ms. Hicks stated that it was decided by City Council that they city would provide curbside recycling for the convenience of the residents. If DKMM were to be held accountable for the decision that the city council makes, then DKMM would request that bidding processes occur to ensure that the city-funded and operated program was the most financially feasible. She has been met with pushbacks that was not included in the bid that the City most recently went out with.

Mr. Daniels stated that they agree that curbside is the most efficient.

Finance Committee Minutes

November 20, 2018

Ms. Hicks stated that her assumption would be that people in Marion are paying for the \$275,000 expense through their trash bill. The district has purchased recycling equipment for the City in the past but has not been approached recently about a need. If there is a need, the relationship is there but it has not been utilized.

Mr. Daniels stated that he has an obligation to constituents to spend their money wisely. DKMM is tasked statutorily to provide recycling. Commissioners do not want to raise the tipping fee. The average person creates about a ton of trash a year. If they would increase the tipping fee, it would affect him personally based on business. However, it is the right thing for the city of Marion.

Ms. Hicks stated that she understands that the city council is looking out for the best interests of their residents. She is looking out for the best interests of the 320,000 residents living in her solid waste district. When Mr. Daniels is asking them to increase their contract fee of \$6 to every single 320,000-person residential population, she does not think that is the best to fund the City's curbside.

Mr. Daniels said that does not think that it is fair to pass along a fee to every resident in Marion to benefit the district because the City's numbers for recycling are used by DKMM to validate its position with the EPA. Obviously, there is no performance-based method because Marion City is subsidizing through DKMM the worst recycling methods.

Ms. Hicks stated that they are not based on tonnage. The City gets a \$1 for \$1 return on the money that they pay DKMM in contract fees. The City overpaid the district \$900 for the services that Marion City residents received.

Mr. Daniels states that they disagree on that. Ms. Hicks is totally discounting the amount of money that the City is already putting towards recycling efforts that DKMM is happy to allow the City to do.

Ms. Hicks stated that it was the City's decision and that no one forced them to do it.

Mr. Daniels stated that the discussion with the public is whether the City will keep curbside or not. If DKMM and the city through this process need to sit down and come together on refocusing DKMM efforts on recycling as opposed to drop offs, then they need to have that conversation. He is more than willing to do that.

Ms. Hicks stated that they have had that conversation through the planning process for the past two years. They have already approved the draft solid waste plan on 11-14-2018. They legally cannot make the changes that is proposed in the resolution. Those conversations should have been happening over the last two years during the planning process. The City was represented by the Mayor's office and attend 5 of the 6 meetings. The representative was not there on 11-14-2018 when the last time changes could have been made to that plan.

Mr. Daniels stated that they call it a draft because there is a 90-day input period for legislative authorities.

November 20, 2018

Ms. Hicks stated that this conversation is focused on the curbside. She is happy that they separated the two issues, so the residents can still move forward with the curbside recycling without "the axe you have to grind with the district being an issue".

Ms. Hicks stated that the Sims bid was \$56.75 per ton on material that is taken by the curbside recycling program. Considering the tonnage from 2016 from the City's program, that is about \$40,000 in fees. She has 19 other curbside programs in the district. If she does it for one, then she must do it for the others. When she adds up the fees for those 19 other curbside programs and takes that tonnage by the Sims rate (to keep it all equal), the processing fee district wide is \$548,000 annually. The cost is estimated to be \$112,000 approximately to place drop offs in the city of Marion if the curbside would go away. If all the curbside programs went away, they would have to add drop offs. She has not calculated the cost. There would be an additional cost.

Mayor Schertzer stated that they started discussion with Sims approximately March 2018. The program has run as well in this community for 20+ years and now the commodities the market has changed. The City went from a getting a stipend where Sims was paying the City for recyclables to not getting money for the recyclables to now having to pay to dispose of recyclables. His responsibility has been to pick it up at the curb, if that is council's decision to continue to do that. It has been good for everyone to learn about recycling in the community, in the DKMM district, the state, and in the country because the market has changed. The City needs to determine what the extra cost is going to be to the sanitation department. They sent out an RFP like they would do with anything to see what is going on in the market. Thankfully, Sims came back the best of anyone. That is the ordinance that is before Council. They must look at what that ordinance is because they talk about \$56.75 a ton to dispose of recyclables. They are disposing of their trash for about \$46-\$47 a ton. So really, they are paying \$9-10 more per ton to dispose of recyclables because of the market. They will be locked in for a period at \$56.75 per ton to dispose of recyclables. After recyclables goes to the vendor (Sims Brothers), he does not know what happens to it. He cannot guarantee that it all gets recycled. He hopes that it does. About 80% of what goes into a blue bin is considered a clean recyclable. He believes that 80% of it gets recycled. The administration is looking at it from a slightly different angle. This is a good discussion and benefits the citizens of the city. Every council member has learned a great deal. About 50% (13,000) of the residents use curbside recycling. Recyclers are represented at the meetings. The others are not. Once this is approved, they can sit down with Sims Brothers. If the City does continue, that will open the door for Sims Brothers to get a grant from DKMM. Recycling trucks are 1996 and they will need to be replaced soon. They have not approached DKMM regarding funds, but they will be. They have a 2017 and 2014 and he believes that that the 2014 is the one that they did get a grant from DKMM to help to pay for that. He anticipates going back to DKMM to request funding for a truck too.

Gary Sims, Sims Brothers, clarified that everything that is supposed to be recycled in a blue bin is recycled. The City is not disposing of recyclables. They are recycling. They have expenses to sort material, bale, and haul. They are not comparing apples to apples. He recommends that the City will continue to recycle. If the City does not, the City will save \$275,000, equal to \$2 per resident. He believes that the City should

Finance Committee Minutes

November 20, 2018

return that to residents if the City chooses to no longer do curbside recycling. Re processing fees, \$56.75, 58.75, and 60.75 – open to discussion at any time if there is a change in the market. He as to pay his expenses.

Mr. Schneider, Council President, reminded audience that final decision is made in full council meeting, not in committee.

Mayor Schertzer stated if they do away with curbside recycling it is not a \$275,000 savings to the city – still have cost of employees and they will still carry them in the sanitation department. There will be a small savings, but the salaries and benefits make up the largest portion of that. There are things for them to do – short manpower in sanitation department due to retirements and more coming. The three workers would have the opportunity to bid on vacant jobs. They are looking at ways to recycle tires. It is a problem – dozens of tires on private property. Some are in the city's right of way. They have learned through this process they can pick them up and dispose of them through a program at DKMM (dumpster at transfer station).

Mr. Daniels stated that the \$204,000 is employee costs. If they took those three individuals in the short term, they would still be employed with the city. They will replace others through attrition. Longer term, the savings would become cash and could be used to finance debt service on a scrap tire or shredding facility. If the way they finance recycling does not change, it will not survive. It is not financially viable and sustainable. That is why they are having such an intense discussion. There are two landfills that service Marion – Crawford (Rumpke) and Wyandot (Republic). The city needs to look at transfer station. Until they put themselves in the position to have a transfer station and recycling center, they will forever be at the mercy of one landfill operator. Everyone that hauls trash to transfer station – which is about no one – understands what that no competition market does. The fee is \$81/ton, \$62 minimum fee. Haulers can dump the same material at Crawford county for \$22/ton. If they are not focused in the future with what they do on sanitation, they will have problems there too. There is a lot more to this than a \$.30 increase. There are some vendors out there that are not so friendly. Once they own most of the districts drop off business, it is bad for Marion.

Mr. Huddle stated that this is being handled in finance because it is a financial issue. Council supports recycling. Once Finance Committee makes decision, then it goes to full council for readings and a vote.

Mayor Schertzer clarified that they are not dropping a program. The issue before council is to go with the lowest, best bidder which is Sims, to dispose of our recyclables. Through this whole conversation, they have learned that it will cost the sanitation department more to dispose of those recyclables.

Discussion about how a change in the market might impact any changes that are decided on now. It was agreed that it is premature to talk in any detail.

Constituents urged council to consider continuing recycling for the better of the community and to not make quick decision.

November 20, 2018

Mr. Daniels recommended that they modify this to be a one-year contract and asked Gary and the Mayor to discuss price per tonnage, so if there is an amendment to a one-year contract that the change can go through on the first read. He said let the amount be set between Mayor and Gary between now and next council meeting.

Mr. Daniels made a motion to amend proposed ordinance to one year, Mr. Landon 2nd: Ayes -- Mr. Huddle, Mr. Landon, Mr. Daniels.

Mr. Daniels made a motion for recommendation to council as amended, Mr. Landon 2nd: Ayes -- Mr. Huddle, Mr. Landon, Mr. Daniels.

Ordinance recommended to council (3-0)

New Business:

Item 1. RESOLUTION REQUIRING THE ADDITION OF LANGUAGE SUPPORTING CURBSIDE RECYCLING EFFORTS WITHIN THE CURRENTLY PROPOSED DKMM SOLID WASTE PLAN

Ms. Hicks, DKMM, provided details on the ratification of the solid waste management plans. She explained that DKMM cannot legally change the plan because it was approved unanimously by the Policy Committee on 11-14. At that meeting, they approved minor changes and would have been able to incorporate other changes prior to taking the vote. The appropriate time for Marion City to make comments on the draft solid waste plan was during the 24-month planning process that started 11-12-2016 and ended 11-14-2018. The city had an active member who attended all 6 meetings, except for the 11-14 meeting with the final approval. Marion City officials signed off on and gave approval on the draft plan prior to sending it to EPA for review. This would lead one to believe that Marion City approved of the draft plan. It would have been appropriate for the city to make comments during the designated 30-day public comment period from 08-22 to 09/20. They held two public hearings on 09/26, one in the morning and one in the evening. The city was personally notified of the public comment period. It was well after the City received notice from Sims of the need to increase service fees. One of the many requirements that DKMM must follow to ratify the plan is the approval of 3 of 4 of the largest cities. She wants Council to know that she is 95% sure that they will meet this requirement, even with Marion voting no and/or approving this resolution. They will still meet their ratification. The ratification process starts in January and goes through April. If a resolution is passed at this time, it will not affect their ratification process. She is hopeful that City Council will agree that the resolution requiring DKMM to change their solid waste plan does not meet the legal requirements for changing the plan and that there was a due process to address comments as outline in HB 592. A yes vote on this resolution will further hinder the relationship that DKMM is hoping to improve in the future. She stated that her board probably would not approve a grant for equipment to process material for a one-year commitment. That is a risky. They would not agree to that.

Finance Committee Minutes

November 20, 2018

Ms. Hicks continued by explained that the public notification process included a paid legal notice in the largest papers in all four counties (Marion Star in Marion County) and municipalities were sent direct notification via regular mail. Ratification does not start until 01-22-2019. The plan was approved on 11-14. It has been finalized

Mr. Daniels read from the EPA memo on ratification of solid waste management district plans. Specifically, Q3 that asks: "can the policy committee reattempt to ratify a plan if the plan fails to be ratified in the 1st attempt?" Answer: "ORC 3734 DOES NOT address attempting ratification more than once. Because the statue is silent on the issue, there is no limit on the number of times that a policy committee can attempt to ratify the plan." The process is laid out by this document that has been around for 30 years. DKMM is not even in the 90-day ratification period. He asked, "What good would ratification be to a legislative body if it were not able to implement or modify the plan? Why that would be a rubber stamp action."

Ms. Hicks stated no, because there was the public comment period outlined in HB 592. She clarified that if they do not meet that ratification, the state then writes the plan on behalf of the district.

Mr. Daniels stated that he did not believe that DKMM nor EPA would want that to happen.

Ms. Hicks stated that the EPA would not want that to happen. They have two people on staff. However, she will do what the EPA directs them to do.

Mr. Daniels stated that the EPA does not recommend that, He cited Q5: "If the policy committee receives a resolution or ordinance from a municipality or township after the 90-period allotted by statue, can the policy committee consider the resolution/ordinance when determining whether the plan should be ratified?" A: "The EPA only recommends that not be done until after the 90-day ratification." They are not even in the 90-day ratification period yet. What he is recommending is that the committee approves this, send it on to council, hopefully get their approval, and let the 12 commissioners and the policy committee review the resolution. He encouraged Council/DKMM to let that board speak, because when he spoke with the commissioners about this issue on Tuesday, they said that DKMM has not brought anything before them because the ratification process has not started yet.

Ms. Hicks stated that the 12 commissioners have no legal standing with the policy committee decisions. They send out notification now and it does not count to the ratification. Only resolutions passed from January through April count towards ratification. They cannot legally change their plan after 11-14 meeting. If they do not ratify it, it will get ratified by everyone else. She has spoken to all the largest cities within the last week. She is aware of the email that Mr. Daniels sent out asking them to send the same resolution. The feedback that she got back from them was that people were sorry that Marion spent all the money to run their own program. They should have bid it out like everyone else. She is certain that they will get ratification. She is glad that the city is involved in that. She would just think that the city would have done it during

November 20, 2018

the appropriate time where it could have been brought into discussion and discussed by the policy committee and potentially put in the plan.

Mr. Daniels stated that they are well within the time frame, according to the document that he had. It will not hurt to do this and send it over to DKMM. If they already have the plan ratified, it will not hurt a thing

Ms. Hicks explained that it does not hurt a thing other than it hurts the relationship with the solid waste district that the city is looking to for grants.

Mr. Daniels asked for clarification. Was DKMM saying that if the City does not send it over that they could get a grant for a truck? Is that the offer DKMM is making?

Ms. Hicks clarified that she was not making an offer. She was saying this would affect the relationship.

Mr. Daniels stated that she should back off on that.

Ms. Hicks stated that there was a due process and she is sorry that the City did not follow the due process.

Mr. Daniels made a motion to recommend approval, Mr. Landon 2nd: Ayes -- Mr. Huddle, Mr. Landon, Mr. Daniels.

Ordinance recommended to council (3-0)

Item 2. ORDINANCE TO MAKE APPROPRIATIONS FOR CURRENT EXPENSES AND OTHER EXPENDITURES OF THE CITY OF MARION, STATE OF OHIO, DURING THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2019

Mayor Schertzer explained that this is a normal procedure and is the 2019 budget. He requested that council consider their schedule to allow for three readings during council meetings. He explained that overall health care costs had dropped 4.9%. Budget balances due to carryover dollars from 2018.

Mr. Huddle stated that the budget has not changed much from one year to the next.

Auditor Carr explained that this budget includes a 2.5% on some contracts. Dental also decreased. She stated that general fund includes cash set aside for squad in 2018 that has been moved over to 2019.

Safety Director Robbins explained that they work with department heads. The larger increases are salary. He commended department heads for their hard work. Overtime is not always predictable. They try to project realistic numbers, but those are always subject to change.

Mr. Daniels made a motion to recommend approval, Mr. Landon 2nd: Ayes -- Mr. Huddle, Mr. Landon, Mr. Daniels.

November 20, 2018

Ordinance recommended to council (3-0)

Item 3. ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC SAFETY TO EXTEND REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS FOR THE REPAIR OF THE BAY FLOOR AT FIRE STATION #1 AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

Safety Director Robbins explained that there has been an issue with floor. Gene Smithberger, local civil engineer, has presented an option to repair it. He is requesting a spec and bid to put that out based on his recommendation.

Mayor Schertzer stated that they have made an application for a critical infrastructure grant as part of the CDBG. They made some modifications to the grant based on the previous application and the application was the first one in on this grant cycle.

Mr. Landon made a motion to recommend approval, Mr. Daniels 2nd: Ayes -- Mr. Huddle, Mr. Landon, Mr. Daniels.

Ordinance recommended to council (3-0)

With no further business to come before the Finance Committee, Mr. Huddle adjourned the meeting at 8:00 PM.

Chairman Huddle

Clerk of Council